arXiv:1412.3497v1 [math.CO] 10 Dec 2014

Some existence theorems on all fractional (g, f)-factors with prescribed properties

Sizhong Zhou*

School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology,

Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, P. R. China

Abstract

Let G be a graph, and $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. We say that G admits all fractional (g, f)-factors if G contains a fractional r-factor for every $r : V(G) \to Z^+$ with $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. Let H be a subgraph of G. We say that G has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H if for every $r : V(G) \to Z^+$ with $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$, G has a fractional r-factor F_h such that $E(H) \cap E(F_h) = \emptyset$, where $h : E(G) \to [0, 1]$ is a function. In this paper, we show a characterization for the existence of all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H and obtain two sufficient conditions for a graph to have all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H.

Keywords: network; graph; fractional (g, f)-factor; all fractional (g, f)-factors.
(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C70, 05C72

1 Introduction

We consider finite undirected graphs without multiple edges or loops. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph, where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote its vertex set and edge set respectively. For any $x \in V(G)$, $d_G(x)$ denotes the degree of x in G. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph of G induced by S is denoted by $G[S]$, and we write $G - S$ for $G[V(G) \setminus S]$. For two disjoint vertex subsets S and T of G, we denote the number of edges with one end in S and the other end in T by $e_G(S, T)$. The number of components of a graph G is denoted by $\omega(G)$.

Let g and f be two positive integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ satisfying $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. We define a (g, f)-factor as a spanning subgraph F of G which satisfies $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. A (g, f)-factor is called an f-factor if $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. If $f(x) \equiv k$, then an f-factor is a k-factor, where k is a positive integer. If G admits an r-factor for every $r : V(G) \to Z^+$ which satisfies $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$ and $r(V(G))$ is even, then we say that G has all (g, f)-factors.

Let $h : E(G) \to [0, 1]$ be a function. For each $x \in V(G)$, $E(x)$ denotes the set of edges incident with x. If $g(x) \leq \sum_{e \in E(x)} h(e) \leq f(x)$ holds for any $x \in V(G)$, then we say that F_h is a fractional (g, f)-factor of G with indicator function h, where F_h is a subgraph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E_h = \{e : e \in E(G), h(e) > 0\}$. A fractional (f, f)-factor is said to be a fractional f-factor. A fractional f-factor is said to be a fractional k-factor if $f(x) = k$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If G includes a fractional r-factor for every $r : V(G) \to Z^+$ with $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$, then we say that G has all fractional (g, f)-factors. Let H be a subgraph of G. If for every $r : V(G) \to Z^+$ with $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$, G has a fractional

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: zsz_cumt@163.com (S. Zhou)

r-factor F_h such that $E(H) \cap E(F_h) = \emptyset$, then we say that G has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H.

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a set of graphs. If each component C of F is isomorphic to some member of $\mathscr{F}$, then we say that F is an $\mathscr{F}$-factor. A $\{K_n | n \geq 1\}$-factor is said to be a complete-factor.

Lovász [3] present a characterization of graphs having a (g, f)-factor.

Theorem 1 (Lovász [3]). Let G be a graph, and let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ with $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. Then G admits a (g, f)-factor if and only if

$$f(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - g(T) - h_G(S, T, g, f) \geq 0$$

for all disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, where $h_G(S, T, g, f)$ denotes the number of components C of $G - (S \cup T)$ with $g(x) = f(x)$ for any $x \in V(C)$ and $f(V(C)) + e_G(V(C), T) \equiv 1 \ (mod\ 2)$.

Niessen [5] showed a result for a graph to have all (g, f)-factors.

Theorem 2 (Niessen [5]). A graph G has all (g, f)-factors if and only if

$$g(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) - q_G(S, T, g, f) \geq \begin{cases} -1, & if\ f \neq g \\ 0, & if\ f = g \end{cases}$$

for all disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, where $q_G(S, T, g, f)$ denotes the number of components C of $G - (S \cup T)$ such that there exists a vertex $v \in V(C)$ with $g(v) < f(v)$ or $e_G(V(C), T) + f(V(C)) \equiv 1 \ (mod\ 2)$.

Anstee [1] posed a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to have a fractional (g, f)-factor. Liu and Zhang [2] gave a new proof.

Theorem 3 (Anstee [1], Liu and Zhang [2]). Let G be a graph, and $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued functions with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. Then G admits a fractional (g, f)-factor if and only if

$$f(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - g(T) \geq 0$$

for any subset S of $V(G)$, where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) < g(x)\}$.

Lu [4] obtained a result similar to Theorem 2 for all fractional (g, f)-factors.

Theorem 4 (Lu [4]). Let G be a graph and $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued functions with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. Then G has all fractional (g, f)-factors if and only if

$$g(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) \geq 0$$

for any subset S of $V(G)$, where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) < f(x)\}$.

In this paper, we investigate the existence of all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding any given subgraph in graphs, and obtain two new results which are shown in the following section.

2 Main results

In this section, we show our main results.

Theorem 5. Let G be a graph and $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued functions with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Let H be a subgraph of G. Then G admits all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H if and only if

$$g(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) \geq \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S, T)$$

for any subset S of $V(G)$, where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) - d_H(x) + e_H(x, S) < f(x)\}$.

If $E(H) = \emptyset$ in Theorem 5, then Theorem 4 is obtained immediately. Therefore, Theorem 4 is a special case of Theorem 5. By using Theorem 5, we obtain two sufficient conditions for a graph to have all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H, which are the following theorem.

Theorem 6. Let G be a graph, F be a complete-factor of G with $\omega(F) \geq 2$ and $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued functions with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. Let H be a subgraph of G. If $G - V(C)$ has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H for each component C of F, then G itself admits all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H.

If $E(H) = \emptyset$ in Theorem 6, then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let G be a graph, F be a complete-factor of G with $\omega(F) \geq 2$ and $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued functions with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. If $G - V(C)$ has all fractional (g, f)-factors for each component C of F, then G itself admits all fractional (g, f)-factors.

If F is a 1-factor of G in Theorem 6, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 8. Let G be a graph, F be a 1-factor of G and $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued functions with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. Let H be a subgraph of G. If $G - \{x, y\}$ has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H for each $xy \in E(F)$, then G itself has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H.

Theorem 9. Let G be a graph, $g, f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be two integer-valued functions with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$, and H be a subgraph of G. If $d_G(x) \geq f(x) + d_H(x)$ and $g(x)(d_G(y) - d_H(y)) \geq d_G(x)f(y)$ for any $x, y \in V(G)$, then G has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H.

3 The proof of Main Theorems

Proof of Theorem 5. We first verify this sufficiency. Let $r : V(G) \to Z^+$ be an arbitrary integer-valued function with $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$. By the definition of all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H, we need only to prove that G has a fractional r-factor excluding H, that is, we need only to verify that G' has a fractional r-factor, where $G' = G - E(H)$.

For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, we write $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G'-S}(x) < f(x)\}$ and $T' = \{x : x \in V(G') - S, d_{G'-S}(x) < r(x)$. Note that $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) - d_H(x) + e_H(x, S) < f(x)\}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &r(S) + \sum_{x \in T'} d_{G'-S}(x) - r(T') \geq g(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - f(T) \\ &= g(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) - \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) + e_H(S, T) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 3, G' admits a fractional r-factor.

Now we verify the necessary. Conversely, we assume that there exists some subset S of $V(G)$ satisfying

$$g(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) < \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S, T),$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) - d_H(x) + e_H(x, S) < f(x)\}$. Set $G' = G - E(H)$, $r(x) = g(x)$ for any $x \in S$ and $r(y) = f(y)$ for any $y \in V(G) \setminus S$. Obviously, $T = \{x : x \in$

$V(G')-S, d_{G'-S}(x) < r(x)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> g(S)+\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) - \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) + e_H(S,T) \\ &= r(S)+\sum_{x\in T} d_{G'-S}(x) - r(T). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of Theorem 3, G' has no fractional r-factor. And so, G' has no all fractional (g,f)-factors. Combining this with $G' = G - E(H)$, G has no all fractional (g,f)-factors excluding H, a contradiction. Theorem 5 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 6. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, we write

$$\delta_G(S,T) = g(S) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T),$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) - d_H(x) + e_H(x,S) < f(x)\}$. According to Theorem 5, in order to prove the theorem we need only to show that

$$\delta_G(S,T) \geq \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)$$

for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) - d_H(x) + e_H(x,S) < f(x)\}$.

Set $U = V(G) - (S \cup T)$. For each component C of F, we write $S_C = V(C) \cap S$, $T_C = V(C) \cap T$ and $U_C = V(C) \cap U$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{(G-V(C))-(S-V(C))}(x) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{G-V(C)}(x) - e_{G-V(C)}(T-V(C), S-V(C)) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_G(x) - e_G(V(C), T-V(C)) - e_G(T-V(C), S-V(C)) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{G-S}(x) + e_G(T-V(C), S) - e_G(T-V(C), V(C)) \\ & -e_G(T-V(C), S-V(C)) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{G-S}(x) + e_G(T-V(C), S) - e_G(T-V(C), T_C \cup S_C \cup U_C) \\ & -e_G(T-V(C), S-V(C)) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{G-S}(x) + e_G(T-V(C), S) - e_G(T-V(C), T_C) - e_G(T-V(C), S_C) \\ & -e_G(T-V(C), U_C) - e_G(T-V(C), S) + e_G(T-V(C), S_C) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{G-S}(x) - e_G(T-V(C), T_C) - e_G(T-V(C), U_C) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_H(x) - e_H(S-V(C), T-V(C)) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - \sum_{x\in T_C} d_H(x) - e_H(S-V(C), T) + e_H(S-V(C), T_C) \\ = & \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - \sum_{x\in T_C} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T) + e_H(S_C, T) + e_H(S, T_C) - e_H(S_C, T_C) \\ \geq & \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T) - \sum_{x\in T_C} d_H(x) + e_H(S, T_C) \end{aligned}$$

for each component C of F. Since $G - V(C)$ has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H for each component C of F, it follows from Theorem 5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T) - \sum_{x\in T_C} d_H(x) + e_H(S,T_C) \\
\leq & \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_H(x) - e_H(S-V(C), T-V(C)) \\
\leq & \delta_{G-V(C)}(S-V(C), T-V(C)) \\
= & g(S-V(C)) + \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{(G-V(C)-(S-V(C))}(x) - f(T-V(C)) \\
= & g(S-V(C)) + \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{G-S}(x) - e_G(T-V(C), T_C) - e_G(T-V(C), U_C) - f(T-V(C)) \\
\leq & g(S-V(C)) + \sum_{x\in T-V(C)} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T-V(C)) \\
= & g(S) - g(S_C) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - \sum_{x\in T_C} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) + f(T_C) \\
= & \delta_G(S,T) - g(S_C) - \sum_{x\in T_C} d_{G-S}(x) + f(T_C),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\delta_G(S,T) - \Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)\Big) \geq g(S_C) + \sum_{x\in T_C} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T_C) - \Big(\sum_{x\in T_C} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T_C)\Big),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\omega(F)} \delta_G(S,T) - \sum_{j=1}^{\omega(F)} \Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)\Big) \\
\geq & \sum_{j=1}^{\omega(F)} \Big(g(S_C) + \sum_{x\in T_C} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T_C)\Big) - \sum_{j=1}^{\omega(F)} \Big(\sum_{x\in T_C} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T_C)\Big) \\
= & g(S) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) - \Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)\Big) \\
= & \delta_G(S,T) - \Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(\omega(F)-1)\delta_G(S,T) \geq (\omega(F)-1)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)\Big).$$

Note that $\omega(F) \geq 2$. Hence, we have

$$\delta_G(S,T) \geq \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T).$$

By Theorem 5, G has all fractional (g, f)-factors excluding H. This completes the proof of Theorem 6. □

Proof of Theorem 9. In terms of Theorem 5, we need only to prove that

$$g(S) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) \geq \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T)$$

for any subset S of $V(G)$, where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) - d_H(x) + e_H(x,S) < f(x)\}$.

If $S = \emptyset$, then by $d_G(x) \geq f(x) + d_H(x)$ for any $x \in V(G)$,

$$g(S) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) = \sum_{x\in T} d_G(x) - f(T) \geq \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T).$$

In the following, we consider $S \neq \emptyset$. Note that $g(x)(d_G(y) - d_H(y)) \geq d_G(x)f(y)$ for any $x, y \in V(G)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)f(y) \leq g(S)\Big(d_G(y) - d_H(y)\Big)$$

and

$$\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)f(T) \leq g(S)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_G(y) - \sum_{x\in T} d_H(y)\Big). \tag{1}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x) - \sum_{x\in T} d_G(y) \geq -\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x). \tag{2}$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(g(S) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) - \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) + e_H(S,T)\Big)\\
&= \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)g(S) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x)\Big) - \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)f(T)\\
&\quad -\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x)\Big) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)e_H(S,T)\\
&\geq \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)g(S) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x)\Big) - g(S)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_G(y) - \sum_{x\in T} d_H(y)\Big)\\
&\quad -\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x)\Big) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)e_H(S,T)\\
&= g(S)\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x) - \sum_{x\in T} d_G(y)\Big) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x)\Big) + g(S)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(y)\Big)\\
&\quad -\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x)\Big) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)e_H(S,T)\\
&\geq -g(S)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x)\Big) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x)\Big) + g(S)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(y)\Big)\\
&\quad -\Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_H(x)\Big) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)e_H(S,T)\\
&= \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x) - g(S)\Big)\Big(\sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - \sum_{x\in T} d_H(y)\Big) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)e_H(S,T)\\
&\geq \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x) - g(S)\Big)(-e_H(S,T)) + \Big(\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x)\Big)e_H(S,T)\\
&= g(S)e_H(S,T) \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\sum_{x\in S} d_G(x) \geq f(S) \geq g(S) \geq |S| \geq 1$. Hence, we have

$$g(S) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) - \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) + e_H(S,T) \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$g(S) + \sum_{x\in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) \geq \sum_{x\in T} d_H(x) - e_H(S,T).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 9. □

References

[1] R. P. Anstee, Simplified existence theorems for (g, f)-factors, Discrete Applied Mathematics 27(1990)29–38.

[2] G. Liu, L. Zhang, Fractional (g, f)-factors of graphs, Acta Mathematica Scientia Series B 21(2001)541–545.

[3] L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, Journal of Combinatorial Theory 8(1970)391–416.

[4] H. Lu, Simplified existence theorems on all fractional $[a, b]$-factors, Discrete Applied Mathematics 161(2013)2075–2078.

[5] T. Niessen, A characterization of graphs having all (g, f)-factors, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B 72(1998)152–156.